In the Matter of the Petition

of

MAFALDA PASSERO, PRES. of:
PASSERO CONTRACTING CO., INC. and
JAMES PASSERO
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or
a Refund of Personal Income:
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967, 1968:
and 1969.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Rae Zimmerman , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 4th day of May , 1972, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon James Passero
(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid James Passero wrapper addressed as follows: c/o Passero Contracting Co., Inc.

79 Callingham Road Pittsford, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of May , 19 72

Kal Jammon

In the Matter of the Petition

of
MAFALDA PASSERO, PRES. of:
PASSERO CONTRACTING CO., INC. and
JAMES PASSERO:
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or
a Refund of Personal income:
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967, 1968 and
1969

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Rae Zimmerman , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 4th day of May , 1972, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Mafalda Passero, Pres.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mafalda Passero, Pres.

Passero Contracting Co., Inc.

79 Callingham Road

Pittsford, New York and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of May

, 1972

Kae Jimmerman



### STATE OF NEW YORK

### DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 AREA CODE 518 457-2655.6.7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

DATED:

Albany, New York

May 4, 1972

Hafalda Passero, Pres. Passero Contracting Co., Inc. 79 Callingham Road Pittsford, New York

#### Dear Sir:

Please take notice of the **Decision**the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

οf

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s)

of the Tax Law any proceeding
in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced
within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

Hearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau



## STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

STATE CAMPUS
ALBANY, N. Y. 12227
AREA CODE 518

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

DATED:

Albany, New York May 4, 1972

James Passero c/o Passero Contracting Co., Inc. 79 Callingham Road Pittsford, New York

Dear Sir:

Please take notice of the **Decision**the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

οf

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s)

of the Tax Law any proceeding
in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced
within after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours

L. Robert Leisner

Hearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

# STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MAFALDA PASSERO, Pres. of PASSERO CONTRACTING CO., INC. AND

DECISION

JAMES PASSERO

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1967, 1968 and 1969.

The taxpayers applied for a redetermination of a deficiency in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. Formal hearings were held at the offices of the State Tax Commission in Rochester, New York on July 12, July 15, and November 8, 1971, before L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer.

The taxpayers appeared personally and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Edward H. Best, Esq. (Alexander Weiss, Esq., of Counsel).

#### **ISSUE**

The only issue was the factual question of the amount of payments made on the deficiency by taxpayers and by a related taxpayer.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency against the taxpayers for income tax on January 26, 1970, for the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. The deficiencies involved penalties against responsible parties for withholding taxes.
- 2. The taxpayers filed a petition requesting redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 3. On November 8, 1971, the taxpayers stipulated that the deficiencies for 1967 and 1968, were correct.

- 4. At times, payments were made by checks of others through joint venture arrangements, during all the years involved.
- 5. The Bureau schedules reflect differences of application of payments made through the joint ventures, and also differences as to time of credits involving fiscal periods. From both the schedules of the Bureau and the records of the taxpayer, it is found that the unpaid balance for 1969, is \$5,890.11.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The deficiencies for 1967 and 1968, are sustained in full.
- B. The deficiency for 1969, against the taxpayers, is erroneous in part and is redetermined to be \$5,890.11.

DATED: Albany, New York

may 4, 1972

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER